

registration officers should not be so construed as to make the right to vote by registered voters depend upon a strict observance by the registrars of all the minute directions of the statute in preparing the voting list, and thus render the constitutional right of suffrage liable to be defeated, without the fault of the elector, by the fraud, caprice, ignorance, or negligence of the registrars; for if an exact compliance by these officers with all statutory directions should be deemed essential to the right of an elector to vote, elections would often fail, and electors would be deprived without their fault of an opportunity to vote.

Trusting this will answer your inquiries, I am

Yours very truly,

EDW. T. PATRICK, *Deputy Attorney-General.*

220. Election—Registration—County Clerk.

When the registration cards in the office of the County Clerk show that an elector has registered in more than one precinct in his county, it is the duty of the County Clerk to cancel the registration from the precinct within which the elector does not reside and let his true registration card stand.

CARSON CITY, August 20, 1918.

HON. S.T. KELSO, *County Clerk, Hawthorne, Nevada.*

DEAR SIR: I am in receipt of your favor of the 10th instant, wherein you inquire:

What is the duty of the County Clerk when the registration cards in his office show that an elector has registered in more than one precinct in the county?

In answer, thereto, let me say that if you are satisfied such duplicate registration has happened, it is your duty to cancel the registration from the precinct in which the elector does not reside and let his true registration card stand.

Yours very truly,

EDW. T. PATRICK, *Deputy Attorney-General.*

221. Highways—Highway Law.

The Highway Act does not prohibit the Department of Highways from taking over the whole or any portion of the various routes in their present condition for maintenance or expending for that purpose moneys now in the State Highway Fund and in the various county-state highway funds.

Section 14 of said Act providing for bids on improvements exceeding the sum of \$2,000 applies to construction only and not to maintenance.

CARSON CITY, August 22, 1918.

HON. C.C. COTTRELL, *State Highway Engineer, Carson City, Nevada.*

DEAR SIR: Your favor of the 12th instant, asking opinion upon certain points of the highway law, received. In answer thereto, let me reply as follows:

1. There is nothing in the Highway Act (Stats. 1917, p. 309), or in any other law which would prevent your department from taking over either the whole or any portion of the various